1	MARK BRNOVICH	
2	ATTORNEY GENERAL	
	(Firm State Bar No. 14000)	
3	JOSEPH SCIARROTTA, JR. (BAR NO. 017481)	
4	MATTHEW DU MEE (BAR NO. 028468)	
_	REBECCA SALISBURY (BAR NO. 022006)	
5	ASSISTANT ATTORNEYS GENERAL	
6	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	
7	2005 North Central Avenue	
	Phoenix, AZ 85004-1592 Telephone: (602) 542-7757	
8	Facsimile: (602) 542-7737	
9	Rebecca.Salisbury@azag.gov	
0	Electronic Filing: consumer@azag.gov	
	Attorneys for the State of Arizona	
11		
12	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA	
13		
	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA	
4		CV2020 012425
15	STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel. MARK	Case No. CV2020-013425
	BRNOVICH, Attorney General,	COMPLAINT
16	Plaintiff,	
17		
18	V.	
	TICKETMACTED I I C - Vincinia limitad	
19	TICKETMASTER, L.L.C., a Virginia limited liability company,	
20	naomity company,	
21	Defendant.	
22		
23	Plaintiff, State of Arizona <i>ex rel</i> . Mark Brnovich, the Attorney General (the "State"),	
24	alleges the following for its Civil Complaint (the "Complaint") against Defendant Ticketmaster	
25	L.L.C. ("Ticketmaster").	
26		
27		

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. The State brings this action pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes ("A.R.S.") §§ 44-1521 to -1534.
 - 2. This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction.
 - 3. This Court may issue appropriate orders pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1528.
 - 4. Events occurred in this state which are the subject of this Complaint.
 - 5. Venue is proper in Maricopa County pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-401(17).

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff is the State of Arizona *ex rel*. Mark Brnovich, the Attorney General of Arizona, who is authorized to bring this action under the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act (the "CFA"), A.R.S. §§ 44-1521 to -1534.
- 7. Defendant Ticketmaster is a Virginia limited liability company, incorporated on January 14, 1999, with a principal place of business at 9348 Civic Center Drive, Beverly Hills, CA 90210.

ALLEGATIONS

- 8. Ticketmaster sold tickets for events in Arizona to consumers.
- 9. Ticketmaster sold tickets to Arizona events as an agent of Event Organizers (also known as Event Providers), such as artists, venues and promoters.
- 10. In 2020, many of these events did not take place as scheduled and were canceled, rescheduled or postponed because of public health concerns related to COVID-19.
- 11. At the time of sale, Ticketmaster's purchase policy provided that Ticketmaster would automatically issue refunds for canceled events. Ticketmaster's purchase policy further provided that Event Providers may set refund limitations on moved or rescheduled events and instructed consumers to contact Ticketmaster for more information or to request a refund if a consumer could not attend the rescheduled event.
- 12. Consumers were presented with the purchase policy when setting up an account with Ticketmaster and upon completing any ticket purchase.
 - 13. Up to and until March 13, 2020, Ticketmaster's website on a webpage entitled

"Refunds" in Ticketmaster's FAQs provided that "Refunds are available if your event is postponed, rescheduled or canceled," and further provided that "Ticket refunds should be received within 7-10 business days."

- 14. As of March 14, 2020, visitors to the same "Refunds" page were redirected to a new page that stated refunds were available for cancellations, and made no mention of refunds for postponed or rescheduled events.
- 15. Ticketmaster has provided an automatic refund to ticket purchasers for canceled Arizona events.
- 16. Ticketmaster did not make refunds available for Arizona events that were postponed or rescheduled unless refunds had been authorized by the Event Organizer.
- 17. Consumers, some of whom had expended hundreds or even thousands of dollars on ticket purchases, were left in limbo for months, many without a rescheduled event date or the ability to obtain a refund. Although they had purchased their tickets through Ticketmaster, their ticket purchase monies were held by Event Organizers who had not authorized refunds.
 - 18. Ticket sales for the events at issue totaled \$71,030,000.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 19. The State realleges all prior allegations of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 20. The conduct described in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint constitutes violations of A.R.S. §§ 44-1521 to -1534.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the State respectfully requests that the Court:

21. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1528(A)(1), issue a permanent injunction, enjoining and restraining (a) Defendant, (b) its officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and (c) all persons in active concert or participation with anyone described in part (a) or (b) of this paragraph, directly or indirectly, from engaging in violations of A.R.S. § 44-1522(A);

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

22. Order remedies, if warranted, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1528(A)(2), A.R.S. § 44-1528(A)(3), and A.R.S. § 44-1534.

DATED this 22nd day of October, 2020.

MARK BRNOVICH Attorney General

By: Rebecca Salisbury

Assistant Attorney General

Attorney for the State of Arizona