## Granted as Submitted \*\*\*See eSignature page\*\*\*

27

28

Clerk of the Superior Court
\*\*\* Electronically Filed \*\*\*
C. Ladden, Deputy
4/13/2021 8:00:00 AM
Filing ID 12760408

1	MARK BRNOVICH					
2	Attorney General					
	(Firm State Bar No. 14000)					
3	Matthew du Mee (State Bar No. 028468)					
4	Office of the Attorney General					
	2005 North Central Avenue					
5	Phoenix, AZ 85004					
6	Telephone: (602) 542-3725					
7	Facsimile: (602) 542-4377					
′	Email: consumer@azag.gov					
8	Email: mdumee@azag.gov					
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Arizona					
	SUPERIOR COUL	RT OF ARIZONA				
10		NI OI IIIIZOIVI				
11	IN MARICOPA COUNTY					
12						
12	STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel. MARK	Case No. CV2021-003880				
13	BRNOVICH, Attorney General,					
14	Plaintiff,					
15	riamum,					
	V.					
16	AMERA SUN CITY PEST CONTROL, INC.,					
17	an Arizona corporation; LOUIS PLETT, in his					
18	individual capacity as owner of the corporate					
	Defendant; WILMA LEE PLETT, as spouse of					
19	Defendant Louis Plett;	CONSENT JUDGMENT				
20	,					
21	ATOMIC BEE & PEST CONTROL, LLC, an					
	Arizona limited liability company; JOHN					
22	NELSON BEEBE, in his individual capacity as					
23	President of the corporate Defendant; JULIE					
	ANNE BEEBE, as spouse of Defendant John					
24	Nelson Beebe,	(Assigned to the Hon. Randall Warner)				
25	Defendants.	(12025hea to the 110th Rundah Wallet)				
26						

Complaint alleging violations of the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act, Ariz. Rev. Stat.

The State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, the Attorney General (the "State"), filed a

16

17

13

14

18

19

20 21

23

22

24 25

26 27

28

6.

("A.R.S.") §§ 44-1521 to 1534. Defendants Atomic Bee & Pest Control, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company ("Atomic"), John Nelson Beebe in his individual capacity as President of Atomic, Amera Sun City Pest Control, Inc., an Arizona corporation ("Amera Sun City"), and Louis Plett in his individual capacity as owner of Amera Sun City (collectively, "Defendants") have waived service of the Complaint and have been fully advised of the right to a trial in this matter and have waived the same. Defendants have denied all allegations of wrongdoing but have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to resolve the issues asserted by the State and to seek an equitable resolution for the affected consumers. Defendants admit the jurisdiction of this Court over the subject matter and parties and stipulate that this Court may enter the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Judgment and acknowledge that this Court shall retain jurisdiction for the purpose of enforcing this Consent Judgment. Defendants have consented and stipulated to entry of this Consent Judgment to compromise and settle claims in connection with an investigation under the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act and not out of any admission of guilt, wrongdoing, violation, or sanction.

#### I. **PARTIES**

- The State is authorized to bring this action under the Arizona Consumer Fraud 1. Act, A.R.S. § 44-1521, et seq. (the "CFA").
- 2. Defendant Louis Plett ("Defendant Plett") is and has at all relevant times been a resident of Maricopa County.
- 3. Defendant Plett was the owner, operator, and CEO of Defendant Amera Sun City, a pest-control business that operated primarily in Sun City, Arizona, from 1994 to October 1, 2017.
- 4. Defendant Wilma Lee Plett resides in Maricopa County, Arizona, and is named solely for any interest she possesses in her marital community with Defendant Louis Plett.
- 5. Defendant John Beebe is and has at all relevant times been a resident of Maricopa County.
- Defendant John Beebe ("Defendant Beebe") is the President of Defendant Atomic, a pest-control business that operates throughout Arizona and has its primary place of business in

Mesa, Arizona. He and his wife, Julie Ann Beebe, are trustees of the John and Julie Beebe Family Trust, an Arizona trust which owns Defendant Atomic.

- 7. Defendant Julie Anne Beebe resides in Maricopa County, Arizona, and is named solely for any interest she possesses in her marital community with Defendant John Beebe.
- 8. All events, acts and practices described in, and relevant to, this Consent Judgment took place in Maricopa County, Arizona.
- 9. This Court has jurisdiction over the Complaint and the parties necessary for the Court to enter this Consent Judgment and any orders hereafter appropriate pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1528 and this Consent Judgment.
  - 10. Venue is proper in Maricopa County.

#### II. FINDINGS OF FACT

- 11. The terms "Consumer(s)" or "Customer(s)" are used interchangeably in this Consent Judgment and should be interpreted in accordance with the context of the specific usage as well as the overall purpose of this Consent Judgment. However, the use of either "Consumer(s)" or "Customer(s)" is intended to represent only those individuals that purchased warranties from Amera Sun City prior to its October 2, 2017 transaction with Atomic.
- 12. None of the following findings of fact shall be treated as an admission in any ongoing or future litigation between any of the Defendants. Defendants enter into this Consent Judgment solely to ensure that Consumers in the community are justly compensated and taken care of in the future. Additionally, Defendants waive all offensive and/or defensive use of this Consent Judgment in any separate proceeding between the Defendants.
- 13. During its operation, Amera Sun City would treat Consumers' homes for, among other pests, termites. Amera Sun City would often spray the Consumers' homes with chemicals, and allow Consumers to purchase warranties on the termite treatment. Some of the purchased warranties entitled the Consumer to an annual inspection and any necessary re-treatments free of further charge.
- . . .

14.

City offered over the years.

included, among other things, the sale of all customer lists and all of the equipment and chemicals Amera Sun City owned at the time for its operation as a pest-control company. Consistent with the absence of any reference in the asset purchase agreement to any assumption of liabilities, Atomic claims the purchase agreement did not contain any assumption of any of the liabilities of Amera Sun City by Atomic. Amera Sun City claims it did not maintain any equipment or information necessary to service the Customers, but all such equipment and information was transferred to Atomic in the sale.

- 16. The asset purchase agreement was finalized on October 2, 2017.
- 17. Atomic claims it was unaware of the identities of all of the Customers of Amera Sun City at the time of the purchase agreement and of the number of warranty contracts previously executed by Amera Sun City. Consistent with the absence of any reference in the asset purchase agreement to any assumption of liabilities, Atomic claims it did not purchase any of the warranty contracts previously executed by Amera Sun City. Amera Sun City claims Atomic assumed the warranty contracts previously executed by Amera Sun City. For approximately fifteen (15) months following the finalization of the purchase agreement, Atomic tried to work with the Amera Sun City Customers by providing termite services to them. Plett had no involvement and was not informed regarding Atomic's warranty services.

The prices Consumers paid for these warranties varied based on square footage,

In 2017, Amera Sun City entered into a purchase agreement with Atomic that

damage present at the time the contract was entered into, and various promotions Amera Sun

18. After approximately fifteen (15) months, Atomic determined that servicing warranties entered into by Consumers with Amera Sun City would be too costly for Atomic, and informed Consumers that Atomic was not responsible for honoring the termite-warranty contracts entered into by Consumers with Amera Sun City. Consistent with the absence of any reference in the asset purchase agreement to any assumption of liabilities, Atomic offered Consumers the opportunity to pay a \$125 "activation fee" in exchange for Atomic providing termite services to the Customers under the terms of their previous Amera Sun City warranties.

- 19. As of September 2020, Atomic was not honoring the Amera Sun City termite-warranty contracts without payment of the \$125 "activation fee".
- 20. As of September 2020, Amera Sun City was in the process of dissolution and deactivation with the Arizona Corporation Commission. Louis Plett is retired, and neither Amera Sun City nor Plett was honoring the Amera Sun City termite-warranty contracts.
- 21. As the direct result of the events described herein, Consumers have been unable to receive their paid-for termite treatment with Amera Sun City without paying Atomic's "activation fee."
- 22. While Defendants maintain that they have committed no wrongdoing, they enter into this agreement to ensure that Customers are properly cared for and receive just settlement to their claims.
- 23. Consistent with the absence of any reference in the asset purchase agreement to any assumption of liabilities, Atomic's position remains that it did not assume Amera Sun City's liabilities. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, it is not essential that a finding be made on this issue, and no finding is here made.

#### III. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 24. An investigation by the Attorney General's Office has determined that the actions described in Paragraphs 14 through 22 above constitute violations of the CFA. Atomic denies these conclusions.
- 25. Pursuant to the CFA, the violations described in this Consent Judgment entitle the State to injunctive relief and awards of restitution, attorneys' fees and costs, investigative expenses, and other relief necessary to prevent the unlawful acts and practices described in this Consent Judgment, and to remedy the consequences of past unlawful practices. Atomic denies these conclusions.

#### **ORDER**

#### NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

1

7

6

9 10 11

1213

14

1516

18 19

17

2021

23

22

2425

2627

28

### IV. PAYMENT

- 26. In an effort to ensure Customers are taken care of in the future, Defendant Atomic agrees to honor the termite-warranty contracts entered into by Eligible Consumers (as defined below) of which Atomic has been presently put on notice by the State, may be put on notice by the State through the notice process set forth below, or may be put on notice through direct contact from Eligible Consumers. Defendant Atomic will honor these termite-warranty contracts through the earlier of the termination of the contract previously entered into with Amera Sun City or December 31, 2024, at no further cost to the Customer, and to continue to honor in full the warranties of those Customers that have paid the Atomic \$125 activation fee. The State acknowledges that the true number of affected Customers is unknown. The State additionally acknowledges that Atomic has been cooperative and forthcoming in the State's investigation and acknowledges that Atomic is volunteering financial assistance, even though no finding is being entered on the issue of whether Atomic assumed Amera Sun City's liabilities.
- 27. None of the foregoing findings of fact, nor the agreement to enter into this payment requirement, shall be treated as an admission of any wrongdoing by any of the Defendants, nor may any Defendant use this Consent Judgment in any separate proceeding(s) for offensive or defensive purposes, including but not limited to any form of claim or issue preclusion, such as collateral estoppel and/or res judicata. Defendants enter into this payment obligation solely to ensure that Consumers in the community are justly compensated and taken care of to the full extent of said Consumers' contractual rights. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1528(A)(2), Defendants are jointly and severally liable and obligated to pay to the Attorney General the amount of ONE-HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$100,000) (the "Initial Payment") in consumer restitution to be paid within thirty (30) days of the entry of this Consent Judgment, to be deposited into the Consumer Restitution and Remediation Revolving Fund and to be distributed to Eligible Consumers (defined below) by the Attorney General's Office, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1531.02(B). The Arizona Attorney General shall have sole discretion as to how and when restitution funds are distributed to consumers and the eligibility of any consumer to receive restitution. Among other things, the Arizona Attorney General intends to use the restitution

payment to provide full refunds to consumers who paid the Atomic \$125 activation fee. The Arizona Attorney General shall provide a report to the Defendants as to the consumers that are anticipated to receive a restitution payment, the basis for the restitution payment, and in what amount.

- 28. "Eligible Consumers" means Consumers who had an active termite-warranty contract with Amera Sun City on October 2, 2017, and who have filed a complaint with the State within ninety (90) days of the entry of this Consent Judgment. The State shall provide Atomic with the names and addresses of all Eligible Consumers known to the State so that Atomic can begin to provide any appropriate services to an Eligible Consumer, consistent with the terms of such warranties, and subject to all applicable contract defenses to such warranties, including but not limited to statutes of limitation and waiver.
- 29. Subject to the limitations set forth above, in the event the Initial Payment herein is insufficient to fully restore Eligible Consumers, the State will submit to the Defendants within one hundred and twenty (120) days after this Consent Judgment's effective date, a proposed Order of Additional Payment with the total amount the Defendants are jointly and severally obligated to pay. Any disputes that arise with respect to the payment of the Additional Payment shall be resolved by this Court. The proposed final Order of Additional Payment shall be filed with this Court. Defendants shall pay the Additional Payment within sixty (60) days of the entry of the final Order of Additional Payment, with interest accruing at the statutory rate until paid. The Additional Payment shall be deposited into the Consumer Restitution and Remediation Revolving Fund and shall be distributed to Eligible Consumers.
- 30. In the event that any portion of the Initial Payment or Additional Payment ordered herein cannot be distributed to Eligible Consumers, such portion shall be deposited by the Attorney General's Office into the Consumer Protection Consumer Fraud Revolving Fund, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1531.01, and used for the purposes specified therein.
- 31. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1534, Defendants are jointly and severally liable and obligated to pay to the Attorney General the total amount of TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$10,000) in attorneys' fees and costs ("Fee Payment") due within thirty (30) days of the entry of

12

15 16

18 19

17

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28 this consent judgment, with interest accruing thereon at the statutory rate until paid, to be deposited into the Consumer Protection – Consumer Fraud Revolving Fund pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1531.01, and used for the purposes set forth therein.

- 32. Prior to the filing of this Consent Judgment by the State, Defendants have made the Initial Payment and Fee Payment, totaling ONE HUNDRED AND TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$110,000) in complete satisfaction of their obligations under Paragraphs 27 and 31 herein.
- 33. The payments required herein shall be paid in the form of cashier's checks, wires, or money orders made payable to "The State of Arizona." Payment shall be delivered, or mailed and postmarked, to:

Consumer Protection and Advocacy Section The Office of the Arizona Attorney General 2005 N. Central Ave. Phoenix, AZ 85004

- 34. Each Defendant warrants as to themselves and represents as to themselves that there is not pending any case, proceeding, or other action seeking reorganization, arrangement, adjustment, liquidation, dissolution, or recomposition of any Defendant or its debts under any law relating to bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, or the relief of debtors, or seeking the appointment of a receiver, trustee, custodian, or other similar official for any Defendant. Each Defendant further warrants and represents as to themselves that they will not file, or cause to be filed, any such case, proceeding, or other action prior to 91 days after the Initial Payment. If any Defendant does file or cause to be filed such a case, proceeding, or other action prior to the expiration of that time, then the State shall have the right, at its sole discretion, to treat that as a material breach of this Consent Judgment, reopen proceedings as to that Defendant, and proceed with this case as though this Consent Judgment had not been entered as to that Defendant.
- 35. Each Defendant shall provide the State with written notice within fifteen (15) days of that Defendant filing or causing to be filed any case, proceeding, or other action seeking reorganization, arrangement, adjustment, liquidation, dissolution, or recomposition of that

Defendant or its debts under any law relating to bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, or the relief of debtors, or seeking the appointment of a receiver, trustee, custodian, or other similar official prior to complete payment of all amounts due under this Consent Judgment.

36. This Consent Judgment is contingent on Defendants' future cooperation, and any refusal to comply with the cooperation provisions in Paragraphs 29 and 32 through 34 of this Consent Judgment is a material breach of this Consent Judgment as to the non-cooperating Defendant only. In the event of a material breach, in addition to all other remedies available under Arizona law and the penalties specifically provided under A.R.S. § 44-1532, the State may, in its sole discretion, reopen proceedings and continue with this case as though this Consent Judgment had not been entered as to that Defendant.

### V. <u>GENERAL PROVISIONS</u>

- 37. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as the State attempting to unduly influence any private litigation between any of the Defendants, and this Consent Judgment is entered into for the purpose of resolving the State's claims against all of the Defendants in an efficient manner that spares the expense of litigation.
- 38. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an approval or disapproval by the Attorney General, the Court, the State of Arizona, or any agency thereof of the Defendants' past, present, or future conduct. The Defendants shall not represent or imply that the Attorney General, the Court, the State of Arizona, or any agency therefore has approved or approves of any of the Defendants' actions or any of the Defendants' past, present, or future business practices.
- 39. If any portion of this Consent Judgment is held invalid by operation of law, the remaining terms thereof shall not be affected and shall remain in full force and effect.
- 40. Jurisdiction is retained by this Court for the purpose of entertaining an application by the State for the enforcement of this Consent Judgment.
- 41. This Consent Judgment is the result of a compromise and settlement agreement between the parties. Only the parties to this action may seek enforcement of this Consent ...

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Judgment. Nothing herein is intended to create a private right of action by other parties, nor a third-party beneficiary contract.

42. This Consent Judgment shall not limit the rights of any private party to pursue any remedies allowed by law.

The effective date of this Consent Judgment is the date that it is entered by the 43. Court.

44. This Consent Judgment constitutes a complete settlement of the allegations contained in this Consent Judgment, and the State agrees not to institute any further civil action against any of the Defendants or their employees or agents for the violations of the CFA described herein. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the State may institute an action or proceeding to enforce the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment, take action based on future conduct by the Defendants, take action based on past conduct not specified in this Consent Judgment, and/or institute an action or proceeding to prevent the discharge of any debt acquired through this Consent Judgment.

. . .

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	45.	This	Consent Judgm	ent resolves a	ll outstanding	claims express	ly identified	in the
2	Complaint. As no further matters remain pending, this is a final judgment entered pursuant to							
3	Ariz.	R. Civ	v. P. 54(c).					
4			DATED this	day of		, 2020.		
5								
6								
7					JUDGE OF	THE SUPERIO	R COURT	
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								

# 

### 

### 

### 

### 

# 

## 

### 

### 

### 

## 

### 

28 ||

#### **CONSENT TO JUDGMENT**

- 1. Each Defendant acknowledges that they have waived service of the Summons and Complaint, have read the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, are aware of the right to a trial in this matter and have waived the same.
- 2. Defendants admit the jurisdiction of this Court, admit that the Findings of Fact as specifically limited above are true and that the Conclusions of Law as specifically limited above are correct, and consent to the entry of the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Order.
- 3. Each Defendant states that no promise of any kind or nature whatsoever was made to induce them to enter into this Consent Judgment and declares that they have entered into this Consent Judgment voluntarily.
- 4. This Consent Judgment is entered as a result of a compromise and a settlement agreement between the parties. Only the parties to this action may seek enforcement of this Consent Judgment. Nothing herein is intended to create a private right of action by other parties or a third-party beneficiary contract; however, this Consent Judgment shall not limit the rights of any private party to pursue any remedies allowed by law.
- 5. Defendants acknowledge that their acceptance of this Consent Judgment is solely for the purpose of settling the ongoing consumer fraud lawsuit filed by the State, and further acknowledge that this Consent Judgment does not preclude any other agency or officer of this State or subdivision thereof from instituting other civil or criminal proceedings as may be appropriate.
- 6. This Consent to Judgment may be executed in counterparts and be delivered by facsimile or electronic transmission, or a copy thereof, such constituting an original counterpart hereof, all of which together will constitute one and the same document.
- 7. Each corporate Defendant represents and warrants that the person signing below on its behalf is duly appointed and authorized to do so.

1	DATED this / day of February, 2021.
2	Atomic Bee & Pest Control, LLC
3	(including all "doing business as" names,
4	formal corporate names, fictitious names of any kind, or any xariations of the same)
5	any kind, or any christians or the same)
6	John Nelson Beebe, President
7	John Heison Beece, i resident
8	John Nelson Beebe
9	В
10	John Nelson Beebe
11	Julie Anne Beebe
12	(b/. AR.L
13	By: Anne Beebe
14	
15	Amera Sun City Pest Control, Inc. (including all "doing business as" names,
16	formal corporate names, fictitious names of
17	any kind, or any variations of the same)
18	By: Jour of West
19	Louis Plett, Owner
20	Louis Plett
21	By: Jani L. Plett
22	Louis Plett
23	Wilma Lee Plett
24	28.2.2.0 GP/M
25	By: Wilma Lee Plett
26	[]
27	
28	

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:
2	By: Date:
3	By: Date:
4	Guidant Law, PLC
5	Attorney for Defendants Atomic Bee & Pest Control, LLC, and John Nelson Beebe
6	By:
7	Tejay Coon
8	The Dodds Law Firm, PLC Attorney for Defendants Amera Sun City Pest Control, Inc., and Louis Plett
9	Attorney for Defendants Affecta Bull City I est Control, Inc., and Louis Fiet
10	MARK BRNOVICH
11	Attorney General
12	D. Mdn
13	By: Matthew du Mee
14	Consumer Litigation Unit Chief Counsel
15	Attorneys for the State of Arizona
16	
17	
18	
19	#PXLAY0YT0D00PLv1
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

### eSignature Page 1 of 1

Filing ID: 12760408 Case Number: CV2021-003880 Original Filing ID: 12640281

**Granted as Submitted** 



### **ENDORSEMENT PAGE**

CASE NUMBER: CV2021-003880	SIGNATURE DATE: 4/12/2021		
E-FILING ID #: 12760408	FILED DATE: 4/13/2021 8:00:00 AM		
D LAMAR HAWKINS			
MATTHEW B DU MEE			
TEJAY COON			
AMERA SUN CITY PEST CONTROL INC NO ADDRESS ON RECORD			
ATOMIC BEE & PEST CONTROL L L C NO ADDRESS ON RECORD			
JOHN NELSON BEEBE NO ADDRESS ON RECORD			
JULIE ANNE BEEBE NO ADDRESS ON RECORD			
LOUIS PLETT NO ADDRESS ON RECORD			
WILMA PLETT NO ADDRESS ON RECORD			